

15<sup>th</sup> Annual BC MEND Workshop

**Improving Inmet's Tailings Management:** 

Applying Towards Sustainable Mining guidance at our operating and closed minues

**December 4, 2008** 

### Matthew Bliss, Tracy Anderson, Brent Hamblin and Craig Ford Inmet Mining Corporation



# Questions on our mine waste management

- Why have a mine waste policy?
- How does your policy tie into your values and leadership charter?
- Why have company Standards for mine waste management?
  - Shouldn't the approach be site specific?
  - Don't you approach closed mines differently?
- What is Towards Sustainable Mining and why use the guidance?
  - Why not something else? CDA, ICOLD, other?
- How do you apply your policy, Standards and TSM?
  - What actually happens at the sites?

### Our growth strategy is clear...



### The public view of mining is not



### our strategy

"To grow responsibly as a base metal mining company, providing superior returns to shareholders."



### about Inmet

Inmet is a Canadian-based global mining company that produces copper, zinc and gold. We're active in production, development and exploration — three key components to delivering sustainable growth and longterm value for our shareholders.



### our current production base





#### our Closed Sites





Samatosum



Sturgeon



Winston

#### **Copper Range**





#### Lac Dufault



### what guides us?



Every decision we make is tested against four core values:

- •operate safely
- •make a profit
- protect the environment
- •treat people and communities well

# implementing our strategy: using the tools, mine assessing, and reporting



### driving performance at Inmet: SECA strategy, five year strategic goals and annual objectives





#### Our five-year strategic SECA objectives

- · Implement higher standards for safety and environmental performance
- · Incorporate increased levels of operational sustainability to better align our operations with community expectations
- · Contribute to furthering the development of sustainable communities

#### **Five-year SECA targets**

- Eliminate fatalities and significant environmental incidents and deliver 10 percent year-over-year improvements in SECA metrics
- · Reduce fresh water withdrawal by 20 percent
- · Designate 0.5 percent of after-tax profits to community development priorities
- · Reduce energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions intensity by 10 percent
- · Annually review and update community socioeconomic assessments
- Develop a constructive relationship with at least one non-governmental organization (NGO) to contribute to operational and
  community improvements

#### **Our 2008 SECA objectives**

These objectives apply to head office and all majority-owned operations; the objectives have been incorporated by the operations into their site-specific objectives.

- · Complete High Consequence Protocols and develop implementation plans
- Develop implementation plans for the SECA Standards at our operations
- · Increase community affairs resources at our operations
- Conduct a socioeconomic assessment at each operation
- · Develop energy and water conservation plans at each operation
- Join the United Nations Global Compact, an international multistakeholder initiative for businesses committed to aligning their
  operations and strategies with 10 universally accepted principles in the areas of human rights, labour, the environment and
  anti-corruption. The Global Compact website is www.globalcompact.org.

### driving performance at Inmet: the checklist and measuring performance against our annual objectives...



#### Our performance checklist We met our 2007 targets for safety and occupational health. One exceedance prevented us from achieving our environmental target of zero permit exceedances of total suspended solids. More work is needed to identify community priorities for community-directed foundations at our active majority-owned operations and at Las Cruces. As a result, achieving our objective to establish communitydirected foundations was delayed. 2007 Objectives 2007 Performance 1. Identify behaviour and ✔ In our biweekly Safety Task Force (STF, see p. 24 for more detail) meetings we risk-based safety system continued to focus on methods to reinforce risk-based behaviours in our employees and contractors. We also established a High Consequence Protocol improvements, evaluate process as a result of the investigation of the contractor fatality. them and implement the best solutions. 2. Reduce total injury frequency ✔ TIF and DIF declined by 11 percent and 17 percent, respectively. (TIF) and disabling injury frequency (DIF) by 10 percent. 3. Explore opportunities to ✔ We developed summaries of common Inmet workplace exposures and expand and improve our evaluated ongoing workplace health monitoring.

Reportable environmental incidents decreased by 33 percent to a total of 10.
 One total suspended solids exceedance was reported at Troilus.

 Progress was made in expanding consultation with our communities, particularly at Las Cruces, Çayeli and Cerattepe.

Dedicated community affairs staff was appointed at two sites

We did not achieve this objective.

occupational health systems.

Reduce the number of

reportable environmental

Expand community dialogue

and document community

priorities and concerns.

6. Investigate opportunities to

foundations at active operations and Las Cruces.

establish community-directed

incidents by 5 percent and eliminate all permit exceedances related to total suspended solids.

We found that further work to better understand community priorities was necessary and that is underway.



## Mining Association of Canada's TSM: driving performance



Good Practice Guidance

NM

## Toward Sustainable Mining: scoring:

Performance Rating						
Level Criteria						
1	No systems in place; activities tend to be reactive; procedures may exist but they are not integrated into policies and management systems					
2	Procedures exist but are not fully consistent or documented; systems/processes planned and being developed					
3	Systems/processes are developed and implemented					
4	Integration into management decisions and business functions					
5	Excellence and leadership					

TSM

ET

INM

## TSM scoring: striving for level 3 effective implementation



		Criteria for scoring a level three (3) Performance Rating							
	PI	Indicator name and Criteria							
	1	<b>Policy and commitment</b> in conformance with MAC's A <i>Guide to the Management of Tailings Facilities (Guide)</i> , developed/reviewed with community, endorsed by senior management, and budgeted.							
-	2	<b>Tailings management system</b> , in conformance with G <i>uide</i> , developed/ reviewed with community, and implemented.							
	3	<b>Accountability formally assigned</b> to an executive officer. Responsibility budgetary authority and accountability for implementation of, and reporting on, the tailings management system in conformance with the <i>Guide and</i> formally delegated to operations/corporate personnel.							
	4	Formal annual corporate tailings management review in conformance with the <i>Guide</i> and reported to the accountable executive officer.							
	5	<b>Formal internal, independent audit or assessment</b> the OMS manual are in conformance with MAC's <i>Developing an Operating, Maintenance and</i> <i>Surveillance Manual for Tailings and Water Management Facilities.</i> Results reported to the annual corporate review of tailings management. Emergency preparedness and response plans tested.							

## TSM – reporting on tailings performance 2005 to 2007





Albian Sands Energy Inc.	Muskeg River Mine
ArcelorMittal Mines Canada*	Mont-Wright
ArcelorMittal Mines Canada*	Port-Cartier
Barrick Gold Corporation	Eskay Creek Mine
Barrick Gold Corporation	Hemlo Operations
BHP Billiton Diamonds Inc.	EKATI Diamond Mine
Diavik Diamond Mines Inc.	Diavik Diamond Mine
HudBay Minerals Inc.	Hudson Bay Mining and Smelting Co., Limited
Inmet Mining Corporation	Çayeli Mine
Inmet Mining Corporation	Copper Range Company (closed)
Inmet Mining Corporation	Norbec (closed)
Inmet Mining Corporation	Ok Tedi Mining Limited (18% shareholding)
Inmet Mining Corporation	Pyhäsalmi Mine
Inmet Mining Corporation	Samatosum (closed)
Inmet Mining Corporation	Sturgeon Lake (closed)
Inmet Mining Corporation	Troilus
Inmet Mining Corporation	Winston Lake (closed)
Iron Ore Company of Canada	Labrador City
Suncor Energy Inc.	Oil Sands Facility
Syncrude Canada Ltd.	Oil Sands Facility
Teck Cominco Limited	Highland Valley Copper
Vale Inco	Manitoba Operations
Vale Inco	Ontario Operations
Xstrata Copper Canada	Horne Smelter
Xstrata Copper Canada	Kidd Metallurgical
Xstrata Nickel	Raglan Mine
Xstrata Nickel	Sudbury Mines/Mill
Xstrata Nickel	Sudbury Smelter
Xstrata Zinc Canada	Brunswick Mine
Xstrata Zinc Canada	CEZinc

### our TSM tailings indicator scorecard

#### Tailings Management Assessment Inmet Mining Corporation



- Tailings management policy and commitment
- Tailings management system
- Assigned accountability and responsibility for tailings management
- 📕 Annual tailings management review
- OMS manual

## learning from the Closed Sites: next steps for our operations





- Pyhäsalmi implemented an OMS manual as part of its ISO 14001 program; the team is now working to improve its integration of tailings and water management
- Çayeli has initiated its water and tailings OMS manual for its tailings discharge
- Troilus mill departments is making improvements on integrated tailings and water management





Our Closed Sites are actively managed by our team of experienced employees and contract operator/inspectors

Since its closure in 1992, Samatosum has been a leader among our closed sites for diligent implementation of its mine waste and water management systems



### Samatosum overview



- Located in the interior of British Columbia, 20 kilometers from Barriere
- Silver-copper-zinc open pit and underground mine
- Operated for three years and closed in 1992
- Produced 600,000 tonnes of ore and eight million tonnes of waste
- Successful water cover over tailings
- Unsuccessful layered waste rock dumps
  - Waste rock drainage requires perpetual treatment
  - HDS water treatment plant constructed in 1998
  - Waste rock slope re-contoured in 2007



#### Samatosum location





21

#### Samatosum aerial view





### Samatosum chronology



- 1988 1989 SEIA, Operation/Reclamation Permits, Construction
- 1989 1992 Operated
- 1992-1995 dismantling, contouring, revegetation
- 1994 1995 Tailings re-contoured
- 1995 Tailings flooded
- 1995 1998 Waste rock drainage treated with lime in small ponds
- 1998 HDS plant constructed
- 2001 Surge pond expanded by an order of magnitude
- 2007 Waste rock re-contour ensures long-term stability



### Samatosum risks



- Tailings impoundment
  - High risk dam
  - Sulphide tailings
- Waste rock dumps stability
  - Acid generating and metal leaching
  - At angle of repose (above the HDS plant)
- Mine drainage and water management
  - limited storage capacity
  - trout creek in valley and salmon fishery downstream
- Open pit water quality and high wall stability
  - Diverting and managing runoff



## Samatosum site activities: what do you do there?



Rehabilitation activities

- Site management, OMS, monitoring, oversight, reporting
- Waste rock re-vegetation of re-sloped area

Safety activities

- Emergency response training drills (table top)
- Emergency communication partnering with community

Community activities

• TSM, reclamation and emergency communication

**Reporting activities** 

- Annual sustainability report
- TSM report





## Samatosum mine waste management systems

- Tailings
  - OMS
  - Emergency training scenarios
- Waste rock
  - OMS
  - Environmental Management Procedures Manual (EMPM)
- Sludge
  - EMPM

### Samatosum performance



• Tailings water quality performance



### Samatosum performance (2)





MINING 28

### Samatosum performance (3)





### Samatosum performance (4)





MINING 30

### Samatosum performance (5)







## Samatosum performance (6): risk assessment and control (ERM)

AC TI	VE - ER	M items - managen	nent system(s) addressir	ng.									
			Samatosum Division										
	FDM			Criteria									
	Refere nce ID #	Facility	Component	Potential Failure Modes	Initiating Event	Consequences	Rela Rar	ative R 1k (1-	lisk 10)	Comment	Risk Management Recommendation	Action Plan	Assigned To / Responsibility
						P: Extensive rehab downstream	P:	6				Francis Dam Cafety Incornelia	Preset Hamblin
	6	Tailings Impoundment	Dam	Dam failure	Earthquake greater than	S: Possible safety impacts	S:	4	6	No change in Environmental value	Continue monitoring and inspection as	and Review are completed as per OMS	inspections completed.
	- T	, annige impeditation			3,000 year event	E: Release of tailings to environment	E:	6		from ERA.	per OMS, EMPM		verify DSR requirement date
						C: Impact to community	C:	6					
	-	Mantenation Transforment			Earth such a success the success	P: Will cost 5	P:	2			Continue monitoring and inspection. Include the structure in any third-party reviews.	Ensure Dam Safety Inspection	Brent Hamblin - ensure OMS
	14	and Handling	Mine water sediment pond	Embankment failure	Earthquake greater than a	S: may have salety consequence	5:	2	3	Good water quality. Erosion and sittation in creek		and Review are completed as	inspections completed,
	-	and rianding			1,000 year event	C: likely to affect communities		2		Situation in Grook.		per OMS	verify DSR requirement date
						uill agent C	U.	-					
	_		D	Overtopping (crest of dam)	Flood larger than 200 year	P: Will Cost 5	P:	2		High flow and erosion of crest	Continue to inspect and maintain the spillway as per the OMS and EMPM.	Ensure Dam Safety Inspection and Review are completed as per OMS	Brent Hamblin - ensure OMS inspections completed, verify DSR requirement date
	45					S: may have safety consequence	S:	2		should be of limited impact during this high runoff event due to natural elevated flow.			
	15	railings impoundment	Dam	with significant erosion	event	E: will affect environment	E:	3	2				
						C: likely to affect communities	C:	2					
					Storm greater than the 200	P: will cost \$	P:	2		Trigger unlikely, however some	ver some rotect the g (pump, continue to monitor and inspect the system.	Ensure Dam Safety Inspection and Review are completed as per OMS	Brent Hamblin - ensure OMS inspections completed, verify DSR requirement date
	07	Wastewater Treatment	Maine contract and second			S: unusual condition increases risk	S:	2	2	systems available to protect the			
	21	and Handling	wine water sediment pond	Overtopping	year, 24 hour event	E: elevated TSS to creek	E:	6	3	berm from overtopping (pump,			
						C: possible	C:	3		siphon, stop logs)			
						P: could cost \$	P:	3		Movement continues to be regularly monitored and assessed by third party. No significant acceleration of	Continue monitoring and keep pit pond level as low as possible.	Ensure Geotechnical Inspection and Review are completed as per OMS	Brent Hamblin - ensure OMS inspections completed, verify DSR requirement date
	32	Open Pit	Pit dike	Sudden failure of waste rock	Spring runoff greater than	S: safety risk possible	S:	2	3				
				storage area into the pit lake	100 year event	E: poor water quality to creek possible	E:	3					
						C: may affect community (perception)	C:	2		movement observed.			
						P: likely repair/rehab \$ with failure	P:	3		As in the 2001 ERA the PFM and consequence may be low but the revised risk ranking criteria have Variables 2 and 3 at a High level.	Continue inspection and verify the stability of the dam.	Ensure Inspection and Review are completed as per OMS / EMPM - Document	Brent Hamblin - ensure OMS
	46	Open Pit	Pit dike	Dam failure	Earthquake exceeding	S: possible with staff on site	S:	3	4				completed/documented, verify DSR requirement date
					1,000 return event	E: certain clean up 5 with failure	E:	6					
						C; Impacts related to creek and water	U:	3					
		Open Pit	Pit dike	Overtopping	Flooding in excess of 100 year event	Г. Q.	Г. Q.	2	2	Unlikely and systems in place to manage.	Continue to monitor and inspect the pit water level, pit dyke.	Jim Lewko - Ensure regular bi- monthly inspection completed and Inspect at WTP	Jim Lewko - compile bi- monthly inspection checklist
	47					5. E	E.	2					
						C.	C.	1				startup/shutdown.	
					Time - continued precipitation	P: SP and WTP repair costs	P:	10		Retrogression of the 6B area over	Continue with frequent inspection and		
	40	Wester During	WD fees	WD Failure - slide with staff	of sediments and continued	S: Possible injury/loss of life	S:	10		the past three to four years has	contact inmet and consultant with any	Continue inspections as per	r d Brent Hamblin - setup RFP & Contract for 2006
	48	vvaste Dump	VVD-Iace	onsite	retrogression of 6B area	E: Release of untreated water	E:	10	10	decreased the FOS of the waste	changes. Complete the waste dump	for 2006	
					slump	C: Impact to community	C:	10		retrogression will increase the risk	option evaluation for an AFE and		
					Time - continued precipitation	P: SP and WTP repair costs	P:	10	9	Retrogression of the 6B area over the past three to four years has decreased the FOS of the waste dump from 1.22 to 1.19. Continued	Continue with frequent inspection and	d Castinus in a still	amblin - setup RFP & ontract for 2006
	19 Waste D	Waste Dump	WD-face	WD Failure - slide with staff NOT onsite	of sediments and continued retrogression of 6B area	S: remote possibility of injury	S:	5			changes Complete the w		
	- T	NOT onsite				E: Release of untreated water	E:	10			stability assessment and r		
			siump	C: Impact to community	C:	10		retrogression will increase the risk	option evaluation for an				

### Samatosum performance (7)



• Classification, stability, erosion control, gopher tracking and management



### Samatosum performance (8)



- Mine waste management
  - Quarterly geotechnical inspections Samatosum
  - Annual third-party data review or inspection Piteau
  - 2004 dam safety inspection and classification SNC
  - 2006 OMS review SNC
- TSM performance verified (2007)
- 2008 Participation at the Inmet General Manager's Meeting
- 2008 President's Award of Excellence for Safety
- 2008 BC Jake MacDonald Mine Reclamation award



### Samatosum performance (9)



Geotechnical inspections and reviews – 2007 Annual Geotechnical Report

- Biennial Dam Safety Site Inspection by consultant with data review for alternate years.
- Includes tailings impoundment, open pit, waste dump, surge pond and related facilities.
- With the exception of 6B Area of Waste Dump there were no indications of instability. Re-sloping of Waste Dump was completed in 2008.

	PITEAU ASSOCIATES controlleux ex- tempotecciane, consummer 215-bit water services and conten- vancouver, as consecutives and content and and and and technologies and and and technologies a	Our file: 1071 August 18, 2005					
Corpo Divisic P.O. E Barrie V0N 1 Attenti	Inmet Mining ration Samatosum n lox 1499 re, B.C. E0 on: Mr. Brent Hamblin Coordinator SHE Samatosu	s Division					
Dear S Re: W <u>ar</u>	sirs: aste Dump Stability Assessment, R d Costs - Samatosum Mine, Barrier	amedial <u>Options</u> e. B.C.					
INTRO As req Mining analys part of precip and su MOE6 2004, condu previo	INTERCAPPED (1997) As requested on October 12, 2004, by Mr. Matthew Bliss, Manager, Closed Properties, of Inmet Mining Corporation (Immet), Piteau Associates Engineering Ltd. (Piteau) has completed a stability analysis and risk assessment of the wated dump at the Samatosum Mine near Bariners, B.C. As part of the assessment, a review of tile information (i.e., historical reports and communications, precipitation and climate data, percometer and prime readings, alle flow data, and site topography and survey information) pertaining to the Samatosum waste dump and instability in the vicinity of 2004, and computer modeling of waste damp stability and fluer runout was subsequently conducted. A detailed assessment of the stability of the waste dump at the Samatosum Mine was previous) completed in November 1906 (Piteau, 1989).						
This le recom	This letter report summarizes the results of the various assessments noted above, and provides recommendations, designs, and preliminary cost estimates for remedial stabilization measures.						
BACK Betwe mine I cease Mining Since	EALKASKUMU Between May 1986 and September 1992, Minnova inc. (Minnova) operated the Samatosum silver mine located on the Adams Pateau, east of the community of Berriere, B.C. Mining operations ceased and the mill was permanently shut down on September 26, 1992. Subsequently, Innet Mining Corporation (Innew) capured certain assets of Minnova, including the Samatosum Mine. Since the shutdown, the Samatosum Division of Innet (Samatosum) has						

Piteau, 1998. Letter Report to Inmet Mining Corporation, Attention: Mr. John Froese, Acting Mine Manager. Re: Assessment of Waste Dump Stability. November 3.

PITEAU ASSOCIATES ENGINEERING LTD



### Samatosum performance (10)



Biological surveys - Receiving Environment Monitoring Program – 2007

- All values were below the Canadian guidelines for the protection of aquatic life
- No adverse effects of elevated sulphate or metal levels were indicated
- Trout abundance was fairly high and appeared to be unaffected by the mine effluent.
- Results obtained in 2007 demonstrated that environmental controls at Samatosum remain effective at minimizing any impacts on the receiving environment.





### Samatosum next steps

- 2010 dam safety review budgeted
- SECA Standards implementation
- High Consequence Protocols implemented
- OMS, EMPM and risk assessment reviews
- Participate in the inaugural Inmet SECA workshop







### "To grow responsibly as a base metal mining company, providing superior returns to shareholders."