Evaluation of Factors Affecting Porphyry Mine Drainage Chemistry

Stephen Day and Kelly Sexsmith, SRK Consulting (Canada) Inc. 20th ANNUAL BRITISH COLUMBIA-MEND ML/ARD WORKSHOP

"Challenges and Best Practices in Metal Leaching and Acid Rock Drainage"

"Building and Using Drainage Chemistry Datasets for Analog Sites"



Acknowledgements

Building analog datasets involves a lot of effort:

- Mining companies and others who sponsor the work.
- Water samplers.



- Colleagues who organize, QA and interpret the data.
- Imperial Metals, Red Chris Project



Today...

- 1. The premise of the analog approach to drainage chemistry prediction
- 2. Building useful analog drainage chemistry datasets.
- 3. Learning from analog databases Case example: BC porphyry dataset.



The Premise

- Plumlee, G.S., Smith, K.S., Montour, M.R., Ficklin, W.H., and E.L. Mosier, 1999. Geologic Controls on the Composition of Natural Waters and Mine Waters Draining Diverse Mineral-Deposit Types. In: L.H. Filipek and G.S. Plumlee (Eds.), The Environmental Geochemistry of Mineral Deposits, Part B: Case Studies and Research Topics, Reviews in Economic Geology Vol. 6B, Society of Economic Geologists, Inc., 373-432.
- ".....static and kinetic methods are widely used to help predict the compositions of mine waters, they have several potential limitations.
- "another approach to mine-drainage prediction.... is one in which the compositions of existing mine waters draining geologically-similar deposit types in similar climates are measured empirically."



consu

The Premise

 We have a much better likelihood of making accurate predictions from full-scale conditions than laboratory tests.





Building Analog Datasets

- Overall objective \rightarrow types of data
- Sources of data.
- Minimum parameter lists.
- Data quality.

The overall objective should not only be to compile statistics on drainage chemistry....

but to understand water-rock-atmospherebiosphere interactions.

Analog data should be <u>contact water chemistry</u>.

Types of Data

- Individual results, not summary statistics.
 - Important for internal chemical consistency
- Full scale data obviously preferred, but what about smaller scale test data?



Types of Data – Other than Full Scale

- Lab data:
 - Leach tests (e.g. SFE, MWMP), humidity cell and column leachates, columns are contact water chemistry but are highly dilute.
- Field tests:
 - Barrel tests, tests pile are contact water chemistry but have short flow paths compared to full scale.





Types of Data – Other than Full Scale

- Highly mobile ions (e.g. sulphate).
 - Small scale tests (including field tests) are affected by high dilution ratios and short flow paths.
- Heavy element cations (e.g. copper).
 - Small scale field tests provide useful contact water chemistry for non-acidic waters.
- Standard laboratory procedures can be modified to provide full scale analog data
 - Slower water application
 - Repeated contact (e.g. flow path experiments).



Types of Data – Full Scale



Known diluted waters are avoided.

Diluted Waters



X Underground mine drainage



X Pit lake



X Tailings pond & seeps



Types of Data – When to Sample?





Winter conditions – less dilution



Sources of Data

- Directly from mine site personnel.
- Environmental monitoring reports.
 - Often compliance points rather then near source.
- MEND reports
- Publications
 - Statistical summaries and charts



Minimum Parameter Lists and Data Quality

- Reliable field pH measurements.
- Complete major elements (alkalinity, sulphate, Ca, Mg, K, Na)
 - For acidic waters, add Fe, Al.
 - <u>lon balances</u> (±10%).
- Other potentially important ions depending on setting
 - CI, NO₃
- Filtered water analyses.
- Handling detection limits
 - Historical high detection limits add noise to datasets.
 - Accept only lowest detection limits.



Supporting Data

- Mineral deposit classification
- Geological setting
- Mineralogical and geochemical characteristics





Analog Datasets

- Porphyries (e.g. Island Copper, BC)
- Coal (e.g. Tumbler Ridge area, BC)
- Kuroko-type volcanogenic (e.g. Britannia Mine, BC)
- Sedimentary exhalative (e.g. Anvil Range Complex, Yukon)
- Pluton-related Au (e.g. Brewery Creek Mine, Yukon).
- Layered ultramafic (e.g. Duluth Complex, MN)
- Unconformity roll front uranium (e.g. Rabbit Lake, SK)



Learning from Analog Datasets

- pH is usually the strongest master variable examine data in context of pH (Plumlee et al. 1999).
- Interpret in context of known mineral solubilities.
- Interpret slopes of pH vs concentration.
 - Low pH: $M(OH)_3 + 3H^+ \leftrightarrow M^{3+} + 3H_2O$
 - Equilibrium Constant, $k = \frac{[M^{3+}]}{[H^{+}]^{3}}$
 - Log[M³⁺] = -3pH + k_j Slope of -3 on log-pH graph



Learning from Analog Datasets

• Porphyry mines (•)



Learning from Analog Datasets

- Examples from the porphyry database
 - Strong mineralogical control Sulphate
 - Strong mineralogical control with pH Aluminum
 - Influence of host rock sulphide mineralogy Zinc
 - Mineralogical control unknown but pH control Arsenic.

BC Porphyry Dataset - Sulphate



19

BC Porphyry Dataset - Al Supply limitation on Al Data points constrained by AI(OH)₃ solubility 10000 Al colloids 1000 Al(OH)₃ solubility 100 × -+ 10 AI (mg/L) *+ × **** Different Ж 1 жж mineralogical ٠ жж ∦ control or kinetic 0.1 limitation on Alж 🐇 silicate solubility. Ж 0.01 0.001 2 3 5 6 8 4 7 9 pН



BC Porphyry Dataset - Zn



BC Porphyry Dataset - Arsenic



Conclusions

Analog datasets

- Selectivity about types of data contact water.
- Minimum parameter lists.
- Quality control ion balances, detection limits.
- Awareness of sources.
- Interpretation in pH context.
- BC Porphyry database
- Consistent with primary mineralogy and mineralogical controls.

