

Adam P Jarvis (Newcastle University, UK) Ian Watson (Coal Authority, UK)



Newcastle University

Introduction

Downwards flow compost-based passive treatment systems

- Nomenclature
- Principles
- Layout
- Design
- Perfomance
- Maintenance



Nomenclature

- SAPS: Successive Alkalinity Producing Systems
- RAPS: Reducing and Alkalinity Producing Systems
- VFP: Vertical Flow Pond



23 ANNUAL BRITISH COLUMBIA-MEND ML/ARD WORKSHOP

Principles

SAPS/RAPS:

Primarily designed for alkalinity generation / elevating pH, with Fe / Al removal in subsequent units (e.g. aerobic wetlands)
Overcome shortcomings of ALDs - limestone armouring due to Fe³⁺ and Al³⁺

- Pass water downwards through compost layer to strip dissolved O₂ from water
- Bacterial Sulfate Reduction (BSR) in compost may simultaneously immobilise divalent metals and generate alkalinity (but not explicitly designed for this)
- Water then passes through **limestone layer** at base of system to generate alkalinity via **calcite dissolution**, but no armouring
- Perforated pipe network at base of system takes water to effluent pipe, which is raised to maintain saturated conditions in SAPS/RAPS



23 MINUAL BRITISH COLUMBIA-MEND ML/ARD WORKSHOP

Principles

VFPs:

VFP / RAPS terms somewhat interchangeable, but in context of this presentation:

•Primarily designed for **metal removal via Bacterial Sulfate Reduction** in compost layer i.e. formation of solid metal sulphides in compost

•For circum-neutral mine waters, limestone included above perforated pipe network to prevent clogging, not for alkalinity generation



Principles



RAPS / VFP Treatment system layout



RAPS / VFP Treatment system layout

A. Under drainage perforated pipe network being laid



B. Limestone (200 mm) and compost (400 mm) being placed over drainage network





Treatment system design

- ~ 200 mm limestone
- ~ 200 500 mm compost
- ~ 300 mm water cover
- Therefore require 1 m + of hydraulic head to avoid pumping
- RAPS: **14 hour HRT** in limestone for optimum alkalinity generation
- VFP: ~ 15 hour HRT in compost for immobilisation of divalent metals in form MS (but may vary)



RAPS Treatment systems



From Smail and Thorn (2016)



From Fabian et al. (2006)

Tan-y-Garn, Wales:

Flow	~ 2 L/s
рН	5.9 (6.5)
Alkalinity	~ 50 mg/L as $CaCO_3$
Fe (total)	47 (36) mg/L

Bowden Close, north east England

Flow	3.3 L/s
рН	4.0
Alkalinity	0 mg/L as CaCO ₃
Fe (total)	80 mg/L

Tan-y-Garn RAPS Treatment systems



From: Taylor, K, Banks, D. and Watson, I. (2016) Characterisation of hydraulic and hydrogeochemical processes in a reducing and alkalinityproducing system (RAPS) treating mine drainage, South Wales, UK. *International Journal of Coal Geology*, **164**, 35-47.



Bowden Close RAPS Treatment systems



From Fabian, D., Jarvis, A.P., Younger, P.L. and Harries, N.D. (2006) A Reducing and Alkalinity Producing System (RAPS) for Passive Treatment of Acidic, Aluminium Rich Mine Waters. CL:AIRE Technology Demonstration Project Report: TDP5.



Force Crag VFP Treatment system



Force Crag, north west England

Flow	6.0 L/s
рН	6.4
Alkalinity	14.2 mg/L as $CaCO_3$
Zn (total)	3.25 mg/L



Force Crag VFP Treatment system



Force Crag VFP Treatment system



•Within each VFP have 4 independent perforated pipe drainage networks of equal area

RAPS Treatment system performance

Tan-y-Garn



- Generation of 50

 200 mg/L as
 CaCO₃ alkalinity, though
 decreasing over
 10 years
- Elevation of pH by ~ 1 unit on average, but decreasing

From: Smail, I. and Thorn, P. (2016) Performance of an operation Reducing Alkalinity Producing System (RAPS) treating coal mine waters at Tan-y-Garn, Wales. *Proceedings of IMWA 2016*, Leipzig, Germany

RAPS Treatment system performance

Bowden Close



- Very acidic water (seasonally)
- Substantial generation of alkalinity, although evidence of slight deterioration in effluent quality with respect to acidity / alkalinity

From: Matthies, R., Aplin, A.C. and Jarvis, A.P. (2010) Performance of a passive treatment systems for netacidic coal mine drainage over five years of operation. *Science of the Total Environment*, **408**, 4877-4885



VFP Treatment system performance

Force Crag



- Depth and characteristics of compost a compromise:
 - For both RAPS and VFPs: Require compost fine grained enough to facilitate reducing conditions, but coarse enough to maintain permeability.
 - For VFPs: Thicker layer of compost effectively reduces area of treatment unit, but decreasing permeability over time a risk



- At Tan-y-Garn: 700 mm compost in total
- Decreasing bulk permeability due to compaction / accumulation of iron solids
- Possibly results in short-circuiting and poorer performance



From: Taylor, K, Banks, D. and Watson, I. (2016) Characterisation of hydraulic and hydrogeochemical processes in a reducing and alkalinity-producing system (RAPS) treating mine drainage, South Wales, UK. *International Journal of Coal Geology*, **164**, 35-47.



- At Force Crag: 400 mm compost in total
- Rising water levels in both VFPs imply decreasing permeability
- But not an issue of bulk permeability



Development of cyanobacterial mat (*Oscillatoria*) on compost surface impedes water passage





- Rising water level results in poorer performance (hydraulic short-circuiting)
- Hydraulic conductivity decreases as mat develops
- Necessitates regular manual clearance of mat





Maintenance challenges: Cold weather



 At Force Crag, apparently greater SO₄ reduction during warmer weather

 ... but this may just be covariance, because higher flows, and lower influent SO₄, during the winter months

Maintenance challenges: Cold weather

- Sub-zero ambient temperatures common during winter at Force Crag
- Some evidence of lower rates of SO₄ reduction in colder weather at this site and others, and also from lab
- But not reflected in reduced performance with respect to Zn removal (depending on Zn concentration)





23 ANNUAL BRITISH COLUMBIA-MEND ML/ARD WORKSHOP

Maintenance challenges: Compost disposal

- Undoubtedly a key issue, especially with regard to (a) full life cycle costs and (b) sustainability
- Metals are recoverable e.g. acid leaching, but practicalities / costs may be prohibitive
- Worst case scenario: disposal to hazardous landfill.
- Predictions suggest costs would still be less than an equivalent active treatment system



From Bailey, M.T. (2016) *Recovering resources from abandoned metal mine waters: An assessment of the potential options at passive treatment sites.* Unpublished PhD thesis, Newcastle University, UK



Conclusions

- In the UK at least, RAPS tend to be favoured passive treatment option for net-acidic coal mine discharges, and VFPs currently main passive option for base metal mine discharges
- Design is empirical, but be useful to move towards process-based design
- System longevity uncertain but critical to overall life cycle costs
- Permeability of compost may be key factor in determining longevity
- Metal-rich substrate disposal, recovery / re-use of metals also major influence on overall life cycle costs
- Although rates of sulfate reduction may decrease, cold weather does not appear to prevent systems operating effectively



23 MINUAL BRITISH COLUMBIA-MEND ML/ARD WORKSHOP

