#### The Affect of Tailings Characteristics on Cover System Design

#### Jason Keller, Michael Milczarek, Tzung-mow Yao



#### **Presentation Overview**

- General observations on cover systems in semiarid climate:
  - Hydraulic behavior
  - Vegetation behavior
  - Salinity and pH migration
- Observation drawn from five tailings reclamation case studies performed in the southwest United States



#### Physical, Geochemical and Spatial Characteristics



### **Physical Characteristics**

- Tailings are poorly graded
  - Mostly silt size
  - Highly erosive (high intensity precipitation/wind)
  - No soil structure
- Impoundment construction results in additional sorting and layering
  - beach sands
  - slimes
  - mixed areas
- Moisture retention and permeability varies by material types
- Variable saturation and drainage
- Drainage can take decades to centuries (size, height....) GeoSystems Analysis, Inc.

#### **Tailings Segregation and Structure**



## Tailings Segregation and Structure



GSA

#### **Moisture Retention Characteristics**



#### **Geochemical Characteristics**

- Can be saline to hyper-saline
- Ore body mineralogy can result in:
  - High acid generation potential (and acidity)
  - High plant available metals (i.e. arsenic)
- Typically low plant fertility
- Lack of organic matter and microbiota
- High moisture retention and permeability can limit infiltration and oxygen ingress



# To Cap or Not To Cap?



#### **TAILINGS ACIDITY**

		HIGH pH	CIRCUMNEUTRAL	LOW pH
<b>POTENTIAL</b>	HIGH AGP	<b>Moderate Risk</b> Potentially High Salinity/Phytotoxicity	Moderate to High Risk Potentially High Salinity/Phytote areity	High Risk Typically High Sali inty/Phytotoxicity
<b>GENERATING</b> MODERATE	AGP	Moderate Risk Potentially High Salinity/Phytotoxi sity	<b>Moccrate Risk</b> Potentially High Salinity/Phytotoxicity	<b>High Risk</b> Typically High Salinity/Phytotoxicity
ACID	LOW AGP	Low Risk/Benign	Low Risk/Benign Moderate Salinity	Moderate Risk Potentially High Salinity/Phytotoxicity

GSA Analysis, Inc. Arizona • Nevada • Oregon

# **Vegetation Behavior**



#### Biosolid/green waste amended circumneutral tailings

#### 15 cm cover on moderately acidic tailings

### 15 cm cover on circumneutral tailings

# Beach area with 8 cm cover on circumneutral tailings

# Decant pond with 8 cm cover on circumneutral tailings



# 30 cm cover, no biosolids, native species, acid tailings

# 30 cm cover, 47 tph biosolids, native species, acid tailings

### **Rooting characteristics**





### **Vegetation Considerations**

- High salinity and/or acid tailings can restrict vegetation success in shallow covers
- Rooting characteristics:
  - Actively root into circumneutral tailings
  - Minor rooting into moderately acid tailings, primarily limited to cover and upper one foot of tailings
  - Form dense root mat above cover/acid tailings contact
  - Affected by tailings permeability
- Vegetative success generally greater in mixed zone than in beach areas
  - May be affected by plant available water
- Vegetation characteristics varies with location (e.g. mesic (slimes) vs. xeric (sands))

GeoSystems Analysis, Inc.

### Vegetation Considerations (cont'd)

- Effect of cover depth
  - Generally no difference in vegetative cover between covers > 30 cm thick (due to endemic presence of South African grasses in SW USA)
  - BUT, greater native species success
- Effect of organic amendments:
  - Can successfully reclaim raw tailings with a biosolids/green water (compost) mix
  - Results in significantly greater mean vegetation ground cover, grass, and forb and shrub groundcover, however, less species diversity
  - In some cases observed to be sustained over 10 years



## Infiltration/Net Percolation



#### **Pressure Potential**



#### Calculated 1D Flux

Sensor Nest/Plot Location	Total Downward Flux (cm)	Annual Flux (cm/yr)	Annual Flux Rate (cm/s)	Estimated Flux as Percent of Precipitation					
30 cm cover, low vegetation									
Average (3 to 2 nests)	3.23	0.37	1.16E-08	1.29%					
Standard Deviation	1.61	0.26	8.14E-09	1.04%					
30 cm cover, high vegetation									
Average (3 to 2 nests)	0.84	0.12	3.80E-09	0.34%					
Standard Deviation	6.52	0.07	2.24E-09	0.30%					
60 cm cover, low vegetation									
Average (3 to 1 nest)	4.20	0.55	1.74E-08	1.68%					
Standard Deviation	7.37	0.55	1.76E-08	1.35%					
Average 60 cm cover, high vegetation									
Average (3 to 2 nests)	3.84	0.48	1.53E-08	1.53%					
Standard Deviation	3.10	0.29	9.13E-09	1.24%					
Bare Tailings									
Average (3 nests)	0.17	0.02	6.56E-10	0.09%					
Standard Deviation	0.28	0.03	1.11E-09	0.16%					

GeoSystems Analysis, Inc. Arizona • Nevada • Oregon

#### Calculated 1D Flux

Sensor Nest/Plot Location	Total Downward Flux (cm)	Annual Flux (cm/yr)	Annual Flux Rate (cm/s)	Estimated Flux as Percent of Precipitation				
30 cm cover, low vegetation								
l ower permeability -	3 23	→ 0.37	1.16E-08	1.29%				
	1.61	0.26	8.14E-09	1.04%				
tanings —	30 cm cover, high vegetation							
Average (3 to 2 nests)	0.84	0.12	3.80E-09	0.34%				
Standard Deviation	6.52	0.07	2.24E-09	0.30%				
60 cm cover, low vegetation								
Average (3 to 1 nest)	4.20	0.55	1.74E-08	1.68%				
Standard Deviation	7.37	0.55	1.76E-08	1.35%				
Average 60 cm cover, high vegetation								
A	3.84	0.48	1.53E-08	1.53%				
s Greater surface	3.10	0.29	9.13E-09	1.24%				
water runoff less	water runoff less Bare Tailings							
	0.17	0.02	6.56E-10	0.09%				
s Inflitration depth	0.28	0.03	1.11E-09	0.16%				

GSA GeoSystems Analysis, Inc.

Arizona • Nevada • Oregon

#### **Predicted Effect of Increasing Cover Thickness**



#### **Net Percolation Considerations**

- Greater wetting front depth with thicker cover can result during:
  - Periods of above average precipitation
  - After periods of drought
- Lower permeability tailings reduced net percolation
- Increasing cover thickness can have less influence on net percolation than tailings characteristics
- Shallow covers or direct reclaimed tailings can have less net percolation than deeper covers
- Tailings are an integral part of store and release and influence should be considered during cover design



## Low-pH and Saline Solution Migration into Monolayer Covers



#### Moderately Acid Tailings (pH>3), 90 cm Cover



#### Moderately Acid Tailings (pH>3), 90 cm Cover



#### Acid Tailings (pH<3), Variable Cover Depth



#### Acid Tailings (pH<3), Variable Cover Depth



## Tailing/Cover Contact

## ailing/Cover Contact

#### Acid and Salinity Migration Considerations

- In a semi-arid environment salinity and acid migration observed to be:
  - Negligible under moderately acidic conditions
  - Limited to  $\approx$  15 cm above contact over acidic conditions
- Phytotoxic levels of pH and salinity in cover material generally absent ≈ 5 cm above contact
- No distinct difference in migration with different cover thicknesses (between 30 and 60 cm)
- Acidity and salinity migration may be limited due to:
  - Unsaturated hydraulic conductivities and upward flux rates greatly diminish with distance above the contact
  - High calcium carbonate contents in the cover material neutralize low-pH solution

Analysis, Ir

GeoSystems

#### Conclusions

- Circumneutral tailings can be revegetated with:
  - organic amendments (if available); net percolation may actually be lower
  - shallow covers (< 30 cm)</li>
- Low permeability tailings serve to slow down infiltration and retain water in cover; can have greater effect on net percolation than cover depth
- Revegetation seed mixes should consider differences between sand and slimes area; deeper covers are better for native seed mixes
- Cover system modeling should acknowledge ET depth into tailings
- Upward acidity and salinity migration into monolayer covers may be limited

GeoSystems Analysis, Inc. Arizona • Nevada • Oregon

## **THANK YOU!**

