Methods for measuring the physical, hydraulic, and chemical characteristics of waste rock

Jeff Bain, David Blowes, David Wilson, Zhongwen Bao, Lianna Smith, Dept. of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Waterloo

Richard Amos, Dept. of Earth Sciences, Carleton University

Leslie Smith, Dept. of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia

David Sego, Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Alberta

2018 BC-MEND ML/ARD Workshop

November 28-29, 2018

Vancouver, BC













Outline

- Over the past 15 years research groups at UW, UBC, UA and Carleton U have been making a range of measurements to evaluate sulfide oxidation and ARD in waste-rock dumps
- Review of several instruments/techniques that we use to collect water samples, and to evaluate water, air and heat movement
- Describe some of our experience in installing, maintaining and using these instruments and data





Processes that affect sulfide oxidation



Amos et al., App. Goech. (2015)

Waste rock investigations

- Challenges
 - Large footprint, large thickness
 - Heterogeneous
 - Grain size ranges from fines to boulders
 - Internal structure: traffic surfaces, rubble zones, tipface bedding and voids affect gas and water transport
 - Technically difficult to install instruments



UNIVERSITY OF

- Usual methods for installing instruments in WRD
 - Packsack drill (Pionjar[™]) to make narrow instrument holes
 - Hand-excavate or use excavator to make test pits, then backfill around instruments
 - Place instruments on exposed WRD surfaces that are eventually buried
 - Place instruments in drilled boreholes that are backfilled

Monitoring Instruments

SWSS (soil-water solution sampler)





Soil tensiometers



Gas sampling tubes

ECH₂O / TDR probes







Thermal conductivity

Air permeability & thermistor

Unsaturated zone water samples

- Soil water solution sampler (SWSS) or "suction lysimeter"
- Sealed body with a fine porous cup, pressure/suction & sample tubes
- Attached to PVC standpipe in borehole or in test pit, then backfill
- Use hand pump to apply vacuum to porous cup to draw water from matrix for sample collection
- Success requires good hydraulic contact between WR matrix and cup

Nylon sock with silica

or matrix fines

- Pack around cup with silica flour or matrix fines
- Allow 6-72 hr collection time under vacuum
- Use N₂ pressure to push sample up to surface, 20-200 mL per sample
- Shallow and deep SWSS available
 - \$150 350 (< 15 m, no check valve)
 - \$800 (15–90 m, with check valve)
 - Soilmoisture Inc., SoilMeasurement Inc., Campbell Sci.







Unsaturated zone water samples

- <u>Centrifuge extraction</u> of water from matrix samples
- Requires 1-2 kg of waste-rock matrix material from test pit and borehole core samples
- Fines are best (*e.g.*, predominantly < 1 mm)
- Extracted sample is a single snapshot in time
- Not a method for continually monitoring water chemistry
 - However, it can provide insight where conventional porewater samples (SWSS) not available



Centrifuge tube, stand & water sample bottle



Six position rotor with 110 g samples



UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO WIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

Centrifuge extraction

- Soil moisture recovery is a function of time and rotational speed (G)
- At high G (*e.g.*, 8500 rpm), most of the recovery occurs in first 15-30 minutes; lower G effective for wetter samples
- Waste-rock matrix with 5-10 wt% moisture content typically provides 2-6 mL water per 100 g solids
- Typically combine multiple subsamples for sufficient volume for analysis (pH, metals, anions)
- Careful to respect load and RPM limits of centrifuge



Pore gas sampling

- In-situ measurements of pore gas O₂ and CO₂
- Measure O₂ to identify zones of sulfide oxidation and gain insight on gas-transport characteristics
- Measure CO₂ for evidence of acid generation and carbonate mineral dissolution
- Bundles of 1/4" x 1/8" PE tubes terminating at different depths in Pionjar drill hole, test pit or borehole
- Backfill test pit with excavated material; borehole with sand and enough bentonite to isolate sample points
- Inexpensive materials
- Use a portable O₂/CO₂ meter to monitor until O₂ bottoms out and CO₂ reaches maximum (*e.g.*, Quantek, Nova)
- Alternative: sample into a vacuum vial then measure with GC



Comparison of field meter result vs. gas sample collected and analysed by GC



Atmospheric values

Active sulfide oxidation consuming O₂

Acid dissolution of carbonate minerals \rightarrow CO₂



UNIVERSITY OF

JBC

Date



- Volumetric water content (VWC) sensor (TDR and ECH2O probes) and a datalogger
- Both measure the dielectric constant of the matrix and this is correlated to the moisture content
- Reliable readings require good contact with the WR matrix
 - For test pits and Pionjar holes, directly push into fine WR matrix
 - For boreholes place sensor in pouch of silica flour or fine drill sand, attach to PVC well pipe, lower into borehole with instrument string, and backfill
- No maintenance
- Life of a few years for capacitance type (ECH₂O)
- Cable length to >100 m
- Several brands and models

Continuous datalogging shows wetting and drying events



UNIVERSITY OF

Carletor



Moisture content (*in-situ*)

- Neutron probe volumetric water content (VWC)
 - The probe contains a source of fast neutrons, and the gauge monitors the flux of slow neutrons scattered by water in the matrix materials
- Use of the probe requires aluminum access tube (2") that has direct contact to WR (*i.e.* not in a backfilled borehole)
- Procedure is quick and easy, and can give a high level of moisture vs. depth detail
- Not for all sites: complications for transport and storage of radiation devices



Soil water tension (vadose zone)

- Tube tensiometers to measure matric suction (+ moisture content)
 → help understand unsaturated hydraulic conductivity and conditions when water will flow
- Water filled tube, ceramic cup & gauge. WR matrix absorbs water out of the ceramic cup, creates a vacuum in the tube, gauge reads the suction
- Nest of two or three depths to give vertical hydraulic gradient
- Tube tensiometers, shallow depths only (< 2 m); must be maintained (refilling, must not freeze)
- MUST have direct contact to WR matrix
- \$400 w/gauge; \$800 w/transducer + datalogger cost
- Buried remote matric potential sensors <u>low maintenance</u>, <u>greater depth</u>; slightly less accurate; \$200-400 ea







Waste-rock air permeability

- Perforated permeability ball or cylinder ("sensor") placed in borehole or excavation and buried
- Sensor is attached to surface by two lengths of nylon tubing
- Inject N₂ through one line; monitor pressure with the other line
- Use custom datalogger to control and monitor flow rate of N₂ and pressure reading at the sensor







Thermal conductivity

- Insight into heat transport characteristics of the WR, presence or absence of ice and water
- <u>Thermal conductivity probe</u>: custom assembly of several heating wires and thermistors
- Take measurements every few meters along the length of a 2-4 inch PVC standpipe access port in a borehole or buried in a WRD
- Custom-built datalogger controls and records heating and cooling response
- Temperature recorded during 13 hr heating and 10 hr cooling (1 depth/day) cycle is used to calculate the thermal conductivity



Subsurface temperatures

- Multipoint thermistor strings continuous monitoring at multiple depths, or move the string from one location to the next and use a portable reader/ammeter
- Rough cost: \$15/m of cable and \$110/thermistor bead
- Single point thermistors inexpensive but slow, tedious to get detail
- Certain moisture sensors record temperature (cost savings)





UNIVERSITY OF





Hand excavations and installation with portable gas drill (*e.g.*, Pionjar)

- Limited to shallow depths (<2 m) and narrow diameter instruments (<5 cm)
- + Can be done any time, easy to do, minimal planning
- + No heavy equipment costs, inexpensive
- + Reliable installation method, poses few challenges
- + Minimal disturbance of WR matrix, so instruments equilibrate quickly
- + One or two instruments per Pionjar hole; a few per test pit

UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO

Excavator test pits

- Effective to about 3-4 m depth
- + Relatively inexpensive, e.g., 9 x 9 m x 3 m deep = < \$5000
 - Mobilization may impact cost
- + Reliable instrument placement
- + Sampling opportunities
- Waste rock is extensively disturbed near the instruments
- Regular sampling to monitor re-establishment of moisture, gas and chemical equilibrium



Instruments placed on active WRD surfaces

- Placed on dump face or driving surface during waste rock deposition
- + Installation includes knowledge of nearby waste-rock characteristics
- + Can modify placement to obtain best coverage and reliable installation
- + Good chance for success in locations that would be challenging by other methods (*e.g.*, base rubble zones)
- + Minimal use of heavy equipment keeps costs low







UNIVERSITY OF

ALBERT



Instrument string for WRD surfaces and boreholes

- Assemble bundles of instruments ahead of time to minimize standby costs
- Bundle = Multiple instruments terminating at different depths (SWSS, gas, air perm, moisture, thermistor)
- Build with borehole dimensions in mind.









Instruments placed on active WRD surfaces

- Instruments, wires and tubes <u>must be protected</u> using rigid and flexible PVC pipe, small waste rock
- Logistic challenges: <u>Equipment</u> operators must be careful
- Some instrument damage has to be expected
 - Install redundant points to account for inevitable losses
- Takes time for WRD to wet up and for representative geochemical, gas and moisture patterns to develop
- Regular monitoring to tell when equilibrium reached



Borehole drilling

- e.g., Dual rotary air, sonic drilling
- Drill hole, retrieve cuttings/core, place instruments, backfill as casing pulled •
- Backfill with drillers silica sand at instrument depths •
- Bentonite layers between instrument depths •
- Lots of instruments can fit in a typical 15-20 cm diameter borehole ٠
- Fast: DR = 25 m/day; Sonic = 40-80 m/day •





Example:

 $10 \text{ ECH}_2\text{O}$

7 SWSS



Borehole drilling

- Expensive
 - Dual rotary air, e.g., \$300 per meter, some cuttings, no core
 - Sonic e.g., \$1,000 per meter, 100% core recovery, but it is heavily pulverized
- Ideally avoid drilling methods that use water. When water is used, it can affect water chemistry, moisture, temperature, conductivity values
 - Sonic generally doesn't use water or air
 - Compressed air used for DR cutting return may temporarily affect pore gas
- In all cases, monitor over time to identify when sample points have equilibrated with surrounding materials
- Core log from sonic drilling can help correlate water chemistry and sensor readings





Thank you

• Questions?



Acknowledgements

- M.Sc., Ph.D. students and Post doctoral fellows at UW, UA, UBC and Carleton U
- NSERC financial support for the research
- CIRNAC Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada, financial support, site access
- Mining companies Detour Gold, Diavik Diamond Mine, financial support











