

Marcus Frenzel, Ulf Jenk, Thomas Metschies

on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag

# **Structure of Presentation**

- ) Overview Wismut's remediation programme
- Introduction into Königstein ISL-site
- Remediation tasks and strategy
- > Field-scale ARD mitigation measures
  - Immobilisation of leaching blocks
  - · Field Test with injection of alkaline solutions
  - Hydraulic Test 1
  - Hydrochemical Test
  - upcoming Hydraulic Test 2
- > Conclusions and perspectives



# **Uranium mining in Eastern Germany - History of the company Wismut**



1990	End of uranium mining as
1990	a result of reunification
	Total production: 216,000 t U



# Situation at termination of uranium mining by Wismut 1990/91



# **Transformation and Remediation of Uranium mining sites in Eastern Germany**

 1991 Transformation into a remediation company, sole shareholder Federal Republic of Germany

Task by law: sustainable rehabilitation of large-scale radioactively contaminated sites

#### Main remediation tasks

- Decomissioning/savekeeping and controlled flooding of underground mines
- Demolition and rehabilitation of processing plants and operating areas
- ) Water treatment
- Monitoring, environmental reporting, maintenance





## **Remediation Tasks**





# Location of the Königstein mine

- > Situated in an ecologically sensitive and densely populated area near the National Park Saxon Switzerland
- ) Shortest distance to Elbe River: approx. 600 m







WISMUT

9

## History of the Königstein underground uranium mine

- ) 1961 Start of exploration
- 1967 Start of exploitation (conventional mining)
- 1984 Change to a leaching technology (inside the mine)
- ) 1990 Completion of Exploitation
- ) 1991 First Remediation concept including flooding concept
- ) 1993 Start of flooding experiments  $\rightarrow$  preparation flooding sec. I
- 2001 Start flooding section I (max. 140 m a.s.l.) after 10 years R&D
- ) 2012 Mine is closed after total backfill of shafts 388/390
- > 2013 Completion flooding mine section I, 140 m a.s.l.
- ) 2017/18 Full scale Hydraulic test  $\rightarrow$  preparation flooding section II
- ) 2020/21 Hydrochemical test



# Period of conventional mining 1967–1983 Room-and-pillar mining with bashing/partial filling

Extraction methods with one or more slices depending on ore thickness



#### Worsening of extraction conditions at the end of 1970's

- > Declining uranium concentration and ore thickness
- ) Extension of the extraction area and shifting to outer sections of the deposit



**)** Uranium production until 1983: app. 12,000 t

# Changeover of the mining technology - Period of underground leaching

- > First experiments for chemical exploitation 1969
- > Since January 1984 exclusively chemical exploitation
  - Underground in situ leaching method using sulphuric acid (solution 2-3 g/l)
  - Performed in more than 100 sandstone blocks
  - Using drifts drillings and tubes for injection and drainage
  - during the leaching period altogether 130,000 t sulphuric acid were applied within the deposit
  - Unknown amount of sulphuric acid was produced by pyrite oxidation
  - app. 5,750 t uranium production by leaching operations

Geochemical status was substantially changed with a high pollutant level remaining within the deposit (sulphate, heavy metals and natural radio nuclides)





# Hydrogeological conditions in multiaquifer system



- ) Uranium roll-front sandstone deposit in 4th aquifer
- > 4 aquifers separated by clay- and siltstone aquicludes and aquitards
- 3rd aquifer main potable water reserve at Königstein area
- ) Interaction 3rd and 4th aquifer play the main role during flooding process



# **Remediation tasks and strategy**

#### **Particular conditions**

- Extracting technology underground leaching by sulphuric acid: mine water with pH 2, up to 200 mg/I U, 150 mg/I Zn
- Aquifer conditions, preventing contamination of potable water reserve in 3<sup>rd</sup> aquifer

# Implementation of conventional remediation concept not possible

- Flooding up to natural level unique sustainable possibility
- Development of the concept "Controlled flooding of the Königstein mine" up to a level of 140 m a.s.l. (section I)
- Construction of a special underground system for drainage of polluted water
- Concept for stepwise final flooding up to natural level of 200 m a.s.l. (section II)



# Preparation for Flooding, mine section I

#### Precondition of mine flooding:

 Prevent any downstream to get water license, break-off after detection of any downstream into 3rd aquifer

intensive system analysis for evidence, intervention plan

#### Research and Development: (~ 10 years)

Scientific studies (~200) for system analysis and remediation concept

- > Hydrogeological situation and development in the aquifer system
- > Fill-up and connected downstream process of mine water
- > Pollutant potential, pollutant dispersion and approaches for long term immobilization
- ) Optimization of water treatment plant, radioprotection aspects
- Monitoring, analysis and understanding of complex system

Flooding up to 140 m a.s.l. did not lead to downstream and contamination of 3rd aquifer



# Flooding status of the Königstein mine

- After licensing 2001 2013: stepwise flooding up to 140 m a.s.l. with simultaneous water removal and injection (washing)
- ) Currently: stable water level (up to 139.5 m a.s.l.), washing (150 m<sup>3</sup>/h)  $\rightarrow$
- ) Increase pH value up to 3; wash out of 1,000 t U
- Water treatment





#### Lessons learned from flooding mine section I

- Controlled flooding of the Königstein leaching mine has been performed in a technological and environmentally safe procedure.
- The release of contaminants proceeded as expected: first flush (intensive release from open mine workings) followed by a slow supply from pore space of sandstone over the long term.
- Roughly 60 % of the mobile pollutants have been washed out.
- > The primary remediation principle, an active washing out of pollutants, has been proved and demonstrated to the regulators.
- Forcing of natural attenuation, in particular sulphate reducing processes, is possible by increasing pH: injecting of alkaline solutions.

Mine water still contains soluble contaminants (heavy metals, U, Ra) and must be pumped and treated.



# Preparation for flooding mine section II

### Precondition of mine flooding:

- ) Comprehensive analysis/evaluation of flooding mine section I
- ) 100 % prevention of downstream and hydrochemical impact not possible → expert discussion with licensing authorities

## Enhance mitigation measures (R&D) licensing of limited testwise flooding

### Research and Development: (~ 20 years)

Scientific studies (~300) for system analysis and remediation concept

- > Laboratory investigations for neutralization and in-situ precipitation of contaminants
- > Proceed Model development for flooding process and impacts over time in surrounding aquifers and the Elbe







# Field scale ARD mitigation measures

#### Immobilisation of leaching blocks

#### **Objectives:**

- ) Enduring fixation of contaminants in sandstone leaching blocks
- > Reduction of hydraulic conductivity

# Method: Controlled precipitation of barite in the pore volume of sandstones

- Application of sodium-silicate / bariumhydroxide solution at accessible blocks
- > Process development since 1993
- Realization between 2001 2005

## **Results:**

- conditioning of 1.6 Mill m<sup>3</sup> sandstone with 325 t m<sup>3</sup> immobilization solution
- ) 50% of the mobile contaminants have been fixed in the sandstone





# Field test with injection of alkaline solutions

#### **Objectives:**

Reduction of acidity, induce reductive conditions to decrease contaminant concentration in mine water, investigation of solution transport in the mine, test of effectiveness of technical application

# Method: Controlled injection of alkaline and reductive solutions into an existing injection borehole and in observation well into partly flooded mine

- > Application of sodium-hydroxide / potassium-hydroxide / sodium-sulfide
- injection of 34 t KOH, 78 t NaOH, 7 t Na2SO3 with 100,000 m<sup>3</sup> water
- > Average pH value 12
- Carried out 08/2010 04/2011
- 3 partial tests with different injection and monitoring places in the mine
- Test the application under different flow conditions in the mine
- Intensified monitoring





# Field test with injection of alkaline solutions

#### **Results:**

- > Neutralization and decreasing of redox potential of mine and interstitial water led to precipitation and fixation of contaminants with iron hydroxides
- ) Concentrations of uranium and heavy metals decreased down to 10 % of initial mine water concentration
- > Flow paths in the mine were confirmed
- > Technical feasibility in full scale was demonstrated
- Field test led to further development of supporting actions for mine flooding and advanced acceptance of flooding concept for section II







# **Hydraulic Test 1**

#### **Objectives:**

- ) Test of system behavior, interaction of mine water body with the 3rd aquifer above 140 m a.s.l.
- Strongly limited downstream of mine water into the 3rd aquifer in approved observation and intervention area: for calibration of models, testing functionality of observation system, testing of retrieveability of hydrochemical groundwater pollution

# Method: Controlled flooding of the mine up to 150 m a.s.l. and immediate drawdown to 140 m a.s.l. (impounding of 700,000 m<sup>3</sup>)

- > Flooding phase: higher injection rates via injection borehole 4 and 1
- ) Drawdown phase: without technical injection
- > Longterm pumping test downstream hydraulic gaps
- > Enhanced and intensified groundwater monitoring
- ) Intervention plan to interrupt test after improper contamination of 3rd aquifer
- ) Carried out after approval from July 2017 to June 2018



# **Hydraulic Test 1**

#### **Results:**

- Hydraulic behavior: increase of mine water level of 10 m led to an increase of groundwater level of only 1 m
- Observation wells in northern part of mine showed lower water levels in 3rd aquifer compared to the water level in the mine







# **Hydraulic Test 1**

### **Results:**

- > No detectable downstream measured
- Assumptions in hydrochemical models too conservative adjustment of calibration
- ) System of observation wells has good functionality
- Retrieveability of groundwater pollution is limited and connected with large effort

# Step in the right direction:

- > ongoing negotiations with licensing authorities
- approval of further field scale tests





# **Hydrochemical Test**

#### **Objectives:**

- Transfer of the results from laboratory scale tests for neutralizing and initiation of reductive processes into a full field scale Test in the mine
- > Evidence of enhancing microbial activity to reduce contaminant concentration in the mine
- Quantification of long-term reduction of contaminant concentration due to the injection of reactive solutions

# Method: Injection of alkaline and reductive solution in a single observation well with pore space conditions

- > Application of NaOH, KOH (tracer) and butanol
- > Cyclic injection of reactive solution over 7 months
- > Enhanced and intensified groundwater monitoring over 9 months
- > Analyzing during pumping phase
- ) Carried out after approval from Nov 2020 to Aug 2021



# **Hydrochemical Test**

#### **Results**

- Tracer test showed the low downstream velocity and the controlled reaction space
- > No clogging of pore spaces with precipitates
- > Technical application in full mine scale possible
- ) Increasing rates of sulphate reduction
- > Increasing sulfide concentrations







# **Hydrochemical Test**

#### **Results**

- ) Microbial oxidation: buthanol  $\rightarrow$  carboxylic acids
- Increasing precipitation of Fe-II but delivery from outside the reaction cell
- ) Coprecipitation of heavy metals, e.g. zinc
- Combined injection of alkalinity and organic carbon source lead to enhanced microbial supported reduction processes
- Application suited to reduce acidity and contaminant concentration in full mine scale



#### Next step in the right direction:

 ongoing negotiations with licensing authorities for approval of testwise flooding in section II





# Hydraulic Test 2 (upcoming)

#### **Objectives:**

- ) Test of system behavior, interaction of mine water body with the 3rd aquifer above 140 m a.s.l.
- Measureable but limited downstream of mine water into the 3rd aquifer in approved observation and intervention area: for calibration of models, testing of the proactive intervention plan for prevention of improper contaminations

# Method: Controlled flooding of the mine up to 150 m a.s.l. with injection of reactive solutions

- 1 year flooding phase: higher injection and pumping rates via injection and pumping wells (→ secure hydraulic depression area)
- ) Injection of NaOH, KOH and butanol in the first 3 years
- ) Intervention plan to interrupt test after improper contamination of 3rd aquifer
- > Approval at the end of 2022
- > Planned to carry out from March 2024 in a period of 10 years



## **Conclusions and Perspective**

- Königstein mine was partly flooded up to 140 m a.s.l. without mine water impact to the groundwater in 3rd and 4th aquifer
- Final flooding up to 200 m a.s.l. of the mine (entry with hydraulic Test 2):
  - 2024 to ~ 2040 stepwise realization with 10 m every 3 years
  - connected with neutralization of mine water and in situ precipitation of contaminants with approximation to pre-mining hydrochemical conditions
- > 2024 to 2026 dismantling of uranium sorption plant, afterwards surface remediation
- After 2040 stop pumping and finally dismantling of water treatment plant → mining site will be completely remediated
- Groundwater monitoring will be necessary years or decades furthermore
- ... It's a long and expensive way to remediate a leaching mine in Germany...





# Thank you very much for your attention!

# Hydraulic Test 2 (upcoming)

#### **Proactive stepwise Intervention plan:**

- Ensure compliance with contamination limits in a downstream intervention area
   → determined on basis of background values (100 µg/l uranium)
- Identify contaminations with an enhanced groundwater monitoring
- After identification above the limits at sentinel obs. wells: pumping at affected observation wells + intensified limit control
- Ongoing trend for expansion over the limits
  → break-off hydraulic test with drawdown mine water level below 140 m a.s.l.



WISML

# Hydraulic Test 2 (upcoming)

#### Preparation for Injection of reactive solutions:

- Plan: injection of 300 t NaOH, 100 t KOH, 2 t butanol each year in the first 3 years
- Increasing of pH value and support microbial sulphate reduction
- > Planning, construction and building up of a mobile injection plant
- > Drilling and supporting of a new injection borehole in the south of the mine
- Ongoing extensive search for new collars to built up further injection boreholes for improvement of the effectiveness of injection





