



Ridgeway Mine TMF Cover System Performance Assessment – Defining Performance, Risks, and Mitigation Measures

Greg Meiers, Zeb Kenyon, Paul Butsavage, Mark Pernito

Dec 6, 2023 11:00 - 11:30am

Hydraulically placed cover 20 years of data



PROJECT OBJECTIVE

COVER SYSTEM ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION

- Establish multiple lines of evidence to provide an understanding of the Tailings Management Facility (TMF) cover system performance following 22-years of atmospheric forcing
- Define success criteria for the TMF in terms of geochemical stability
- Identify performance risks associated with the cover system and assess potential mitigation measures





Is it working after 20 years



TAILINGS MANAGEMENT FACILITY (TMF) CLOSURE

- The tailings are potentially acid generation (PAG), hence cover performance criteria are:
 - Provide "non-contact" runoff water that can be discharged safely to the environment; and
 - Provide geochemical stability to the tailings through controls on oxygen ingress and sulfide oxidation
- A Success Criteria was established for oxygen ingress, with a stated minimum degree of saturation of 85%.
 Updated in 2023 to a Very Low oxygen ingress <1 mol/m²/yr



- Tailings deposition ceased in Nov 1999
- Cover system hydraulically placed from January 2000 to May 2000, four months
- Hurricane Bonnie and Earl (1998) resulting in a large ponded area
- This minimized the exposure of tailings to oxidative conditions, acid generation, and consumption of neutralization potential
- The cover layer desiccated / cracked and then healed





Borrow Area

- Weathered saprolite. Red, brown, and purple saprolite and a grey and green at depth greater depth
- Excavated material was fed into the mill where it was ground and transported as a slurry on the surface of the TMF





Why is it important your model

LANDFORM AND COVER SYSTEM



VEGETATION

- There was vegetation management / mowing from 2002 to ~2004, it was discontinued from 2005 to 2019, and then again managed 2020 to current
- Bermuda grasses, Millet, and Bushclove, as well as Groundsel bush
- At climax, vegetation reached a 2m stand, limiting visibility across facility





COVER SYSTEM DESIGN

- Unsaturated Soils Engineering (USS) at the University of Saskatchewan completed initial cover system design work in 1997
- O'Kane established a field response model in 2007
 - Results suggested Very Low Rates of Oxygen
 <0.2 mol/m²/yr
 - Predicted acidity generation very low at ~9 g CaCO₃/m²





- Potential Evaporation (PE) 1,250 mm/yr & Rainfall 1,150 mm/yr
- In the summer the atmospheric demand for water draws down the phreatic surface
- In the winter net percolation through the cover system increases the elevation of phreatic surface
- Seasonal variability in oxygen ingress







CONCEPTUAL MODEL - FIELD SAMPLING

PHREATIC SURFACE

- In the summer the phreatic surface may decrease upto
 3.0 m below the cover / tailings interface
- This demonstrates the atmospheric demand for water
- There will be seasonal variability in oxygen ingress
- Based on the monitoring data it can be estimated that negative pore-water pressures generated at the base of the cover profile are likely in the range of 30 kPa, and possibly higher due to vegetation / roots





CONCEPTUAL MODEL - FIELD SAMPLING

IN SITU DEGREE OF SATURATION

- While the sampling only represents one point in time, it's a definitive measurement that sheds light on performance
 - Water Retention & Oxygen Ingress
- Degree of saturations between 68% to 100% for the cover
- From a performance perspective the key takeaway is:
 - There are areas with Very Low O₂ ingress, <1 mol/m²/yr
 - There are areas with Low O2 ingress, 1 to 5 mol/m²/yr





Conceptual Model – Field Sampling

Rooting / Oxidation

- Rooting primarily within the growth medium; however, fibrous roots observed in desiccation cracks extending into the tailings
- Aggregation of tailings immediately below cover interface observed due to water cycling
- Observed test pits with pH at the interface ~ 3.5 and ~ 7, hence areas where NP consumed or not available





Why is it important your model

CONCEPTUAL MODEL - FIELD SAMPLING

ABA data: Neutralization Potential (np)

- Test pitting and drilling program suggest "some" depletion NP and apparent load of stored acidity at locations
- This is a positive performance aspect in that NP has been retained in the system
- Stored acidity and depleted NP was used as a surrogate to
 estimate potential oxygen ingress
- Melanterite-jarosite-type acidity not consider in acidic tailin
- Average oxygen ingress for the cover system is estimated to range from 1 to 5 mol/m²/yr





CONCEPTUAL MODEL - NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

COVER SYSTEM TRAJECTORY

- Based on information review, field data, and numerical simulations the conceptual model of cover system performance is as follows:
 - Vegetation management can provide oxygen ingress near the Very Low range
 - The difference between implementing and not implementing vegetation management could be an order of magnitude increase in oxygen ingress





CONCEPTUAL MODEL - NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS



MITIGATION MEASURE ASSESSMENT

Numerical Simulations - Mitigation Measures

- Vegetation management improves performance of the Historic Climate simulation
- Climate Change oxygen ingress estimated to be 13 mol/m²/yr, Risk of changing source term concentration
- Vegetation management does not appear to supress the evaporative demand imposed by Climate Change (RCP-8.5)
- Irrigation of water on the surface (June, July, and Aug) appears to be an appropriate mitigation options for Climate Change



Climate Change w/ Vegetation Management, Mowing Climate Change, irrigation 50mm/month (Jun, Jul, Aug) Climate Change, irrigation 100mm/month (Jun, Jul, Aug) 100% I. 90% Probability of Exceedance 80% ~70% 70% 60% 50% ~13 mol/m²/yr 40% ~2 mol/m²/yr 30% 20% 10% 0% 0% 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400

Degree of Saturation <85% (day/yr)

Historic Climate w/ Vegetation Management, Mowing

Climate Change

CONCEPTUAL MODEL – PERFORMANCE

- With vegetation management there is likely an additional 20 to 40 years of neutralization potential remaining
- This means that there is opportunity to verify performance and mitigation measures
- This means that the cover system is performing well, source term concentration should be retained and there is opportunity to optimize performance



ears of ning rtunity to ation Paste pH 3.6 to 7.7 CONSUMED NP 2 to 14 kg/t RETAINED NP 2 to 10 kg/t Stored ACIDITY 003 to 28 kg/t Paste pH ~8.0 RETAINED NP ~16 kg/t (CaCO3) Med and

PRESENTATION SUMMARY POINTS

- The Ridgeway water store-and-release cover system, located within a high evaporative demand climate, is performing well. By placing a liner below the facility, it's almost like there is a geomembrane in the cover system!
- Oxygen ingress is approximately one order of magnitude greater than previously simulated by O'Kane in 2007, but still performing similar to a water cover
- Direct sampling of the cover and tailings was a key aspect of the established conceptual model
- There is opportunity to maintain existing tailings neutralization potential and current source term concentrations mitigating ML/ARD risks in closure



